

DIVISORIAL MODELS OF NORMAL VARIETIES

STEFANO URBINATI

ABSTRACT. We prove that the canonical ring of a canonical variety in the sense of [dFH09] is finitely generated. We prove that canonical varieties are klt if and only if $\mathcal{R}(-K_X)$ is finitely generated. We introduce a notion of nefness for non- \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein varieties and study some of its properties. We then focus on these properties for non- \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein toric varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we continue the investigation of singularities of non- \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein varieties initiated in [dFH09] and [Urb11]. In particular we focus on the study of canonical singularities and non- \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein toric varieties.

In the third section we show that if X is canonical in the sense of [dFH09], then the relative canonical ring $\mathcal{R}_X(K_X)$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{O}_X -algebra (Theorem 3.4). Thus, if X is canonical, there exists a small proper birational morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ such that $K_{X'}$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and π -ample. As a corollary we obtain that the canonical ring of any normal variety with canonical singularities (in the sense of [dFH09]) is finitely generated.

We next turn our attention to log-terminal singularities. Recall that in [Urb11] we gave an example of canonical singularities that are not log-terminal. In this paper we show that, if X is canonical, then finite generation of the relative anti-canonical ring $\mathcal{R}_X(-K_X)$ is equivalent to X being log-terminal (Proposition 3.7).

In the fourth section we introduce a notion of nefness for Weil divisors (on non- \mathbb{Q} -factorial varieties). We call such divisors quasi-nef (q-nef) and we study their basic properties. We prove that if X is a normal variety with canonical singularities such that K_X is q-nef, then $X' = \text{Proj}_X(\mathcal{R}_X(K_X))$ is a minimal model.

In the last two sections, we focus our attention on toric varieties. We give a complete description of quasi-nef divisors on toric varieties and we notice that they correspond to divisors whose divisorial sheaf is globally generated.

In the last section we give a new natural definition of minimal log discrepancies (MLD) in the new setting and we prove that even in the toric case they do not satisfy the ACC conjecture.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his supervisor C. D. Hacon for his support and the several helpful discussions and suggestions.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J17, 14F18, 14Q15.

Key words and phrases. Normal varieties, singularities of pairs, multiplier ideal sheaves.

2. BACKGROUND

We work over the complex numbers.

Recall the following definition of de Fernex and Hacon (cf [dFH09]):

Definition 2.1. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ a proper projective birational morphism of normal varieties. Given any Weil divisor D on X , we define the pullback of D on Y as:

$$f^*(D) = \sum_{P \text{ prime on } Y} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_P(\mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(-k!D))}{k!}.$$

Note that if D is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, then $f^*(D)$ coincides with the usual notion of pullback.

Using this definition, de Fernex and Hacon define canonical and log terminal singularities for non- \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein varieties. As usual, this is done in terms of the relative canonical divisor $K_{Y/X}$, for $f : Y \rightarrow X$ a proper morphism. Note however that there are two different choices for the relative canonical divisor (which coincide in the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein setting):

$$K_{Y/X}^- := K_Y - f^*(K_X) \quad \text{and} \quad K_{Y/X}^+ := K_Y + f^*(-K_X).$$

We will not use $K_{Y/X}^-$ in this paper, but recall that it is the one used to define log terminal singularities and multiplier ideal sheaves.

Definition 2.2. X is said to be *canonical* if

$$\text{ord}_F(K_{Y/X}^+) > 0$$

for every exceptional prime divisor F over X .

Definition 2.3. X is said to be *log terminal* if and only if there is a an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ such that:

- Δ is a boundary ($K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier) and
- (X, Δ) is klt.

3. CANONICAL SINGULARITIES

In this section we will show that if X has canonical singularities, then its canonical ring is finitely generated.

de Fernex and Hacon gave the following characterization of canonical singularities:

Proposition 3.1. [dFH09, Proposition 8.2] *Let X be a normal variety. Then X is canonical if and only if for all sufficiently divisible $m \geq 1$, and for every resolution $f : Y \rightarrow X$, there is an inclusion*

$$\mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y(mK_Y)$$

as sub- \mathcal{O}_Y -modules of \mathcal{K}_Y .

Lemma 3.2. [Urb11, Lemma 2.14] *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism such that Y is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein with canonical singularities. If $\mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y(mK_Y)$ for any sufficiently divisible $m \geq 1$, then X is canonical.*

The following immediate corollary of Lemma 3.2 is very useful.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism such that Y is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein and canonical. If $\text{val}_F(K_{Y/X}^+) \geq 0$ for all divisors F on Y , then X is canonical.*

Proof. Recall that $f^\natural(D) := \text{div}(\mathcal{O}_X(-D) \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y)$.

For all sufficiently divisible $m \geq 1$, $\text{val}_F(K_{m,Y/X}^+) \geq 0$ (i.e. $mK_Y \geq -f^\natural(-mK_X)$), so that:

$$\mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))^{\vee\vee} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-f^\natural(-mK_X)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(mK_Y).$$

□

The first result that we will prove is that if X is canonical, then $\mathcal{R}_X(K_X)$ is finitely generated over X . Note that this result is trivial for \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein varieties.

Theorem 3.4. *If X is canonical, then $\mathcal{R}_X(K_X)$ is finitely generated over X .*

Proof. We may assume that X is affine. Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution. By [BCHM06] $\mathcal{R}(K_{\tilde{X}}/X)$ is finitely generated. Running the MMP over X , we obtain $X^c = \text{Proj}_X(\mathcal{R}(K_{\tilde{X}}))$ and let $f : X^c \rightarrow X$ be the induced morphism, where X^c is canonical. Since X is canonical, for any $m > 0$, there is an inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{X^c} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^c}(mK_{X^c})$. Pushing this forward we obtain inclusions

$$f_*(\mathcal{O}_{X^c} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}_{X^c}(mK_{X^c}) \subset \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X).$$

Since the left and right hand sides have isomorphic global sections, then $H^0(f_*\mathcal{O}_{X^c}(mK_{X^c})) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))$. Since X is affine, $\mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)$ is globally generated and hence $f_*\mathcal{O}_{X^c}(mK_{X^c}) = \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)$. But then $\mathcal{R}(K_X/X) \cong \mathcal{R}(K_{X^c}/X)$ is finitely generated. □

Remark 3.5. Note that we have seen that

$$\mathcal{R}(K_X/X) \cong \mathcal{R}(K_{X^c}/X) \cong \mathcal{R}(K_{\tilde{X}}/X)$$

hence

$$X^c = \text{Proj}_X(\mathcal{R}(K_X/X))$$

and so $X^c \rightarrow X$ is a small morphism.

Corollary 3.6. *If X is canonical, then the canonical ring $\mathcal{R}(K_X)$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. Since $f : X^c \rightarrow X$ is small, it follows that $\mathcal{R}(K_X) \cong \mathcal{R}(K_{X^c})$. Since X^c is canonical and \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein it follows that $\mathcal{R}(K_{X^c})$ is finitely generated (cf. [BCHM06]). □

The next Proposition, strictly relates log terminal singularities with the finite generation of the canonical ring even in the non- \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein case:

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a normal variety with at most canonical singularities. $\mathcal{R}(-K_X/X)$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{O}_X -algebra if and only if X is log terminal.*

Proof. If X is log terminal, then $\mathcal{R}(-K_X/X)$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{O}_X -algebra by [Kol08, Theorem 92].

For the reverse implication, since $\mathcal{R}(-K_X/X)$ is finitely generated, by [KM98, Proposition 6.2], there exists a small map $\pi : X^- \rightarrow X$, such that $-K_{X^-} = \pi_*^{-1}(-K_X)$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and π -ample. For any m sufficiently divisible, consider the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{X^-} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^-}(-mK_{X^-})$. Since $-K_{X^-}$ is π -ample, we can choose $A \subseteq X$ an ample divisor so that $-K_X + A$ and $-K_{X^-} + g^*A$ are both globally generated. Since the small map induces an isomorphism at the level of global sections and the two sheaves are globally generated,

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^-} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(-mK_X + A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^-}(-mK_{X^-} + g^*A)$$

is an isomorphism of sheaves. Thus, considering $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X^-$, a common log resolution of both X and X^- , we have

$$K_Y + \frac{1}{m}g^*(-mK_{X^-}) = K_Y + \frac{1}{m}g^*(\pi^\sharp(-mK_X)) = K_Y + \frac{1}{m}f^\sharp(-mK_X) \geq 0$$

so that X^- has at most canonical singularities. Since K_{X^-} is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and canonical, X^- is log terminal.

Choosing a general ample \mathbb{Q} -divisor $H^- \sim_{\mathbb{Q},X} -K_{X^-}$, let $m \gg 0$ and $G^- \in |mH^-|$ a general irreducible component. Picking $\Delta^- := \frac{G^-}{m}$ then $K_{X^-} + \Delta^- \sim_{\mathbb{Q},X} 0$ is still log terminal and hence so is $(X, \Delta = \pi_*\Delta^-)$. \square

4. QUASI-NEF DIVISORS

Given a divisor D on a variety X , it is useful to know if the divisor is nef. In particular, varieties such that the canonical divisor K_X is nef, are minimal models.

For arbitrary normal varieties, unfortunately, there is no good notion of nefness (this is a numerical property that is well defined if the variety is \mathbb{Q} -factorial). In particular, whenever looking for a minimal model in this case it is always necessary to either pass to a resolution of the singularities or to perturb the canonical divisor adding a boundary (an auxiliary divisor Δ such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier). However both operations are not canonical and in either cases different choices lead us to different minimal models. What we would like to do in this section is to define a notion of a minimal model for an arbitrary normal variety.

We will start defining a notion of nefness for a divisor that is not \mathbb{Q} -Cartier.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a normal variety. A divisor $D \subseteq X$ is *quasi-nef* (*q-nef*) if for every ample \mathbb{Q} -divisor $A \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{O}_X(m(D + A))$ is generated by global sections for every $m > 0$ sufficiently divisible.

Remark 4.2. Let X be a normal \mathbb{Q} -factorial variety. A divisor $D \subseteq X$ is nef if and only if it is q-nef.

Proposition 4.3. Let D be a divisor on a normal variety X . If $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is a small projective birational map such that $\bar{D} := g_*^{-1}D$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and g -ample, then D is q-nef if and only if \bar{D} is nef.

Proof. Let us first assume that D is q-nef. For every ample divisor $A \subseteq X$, by definition there exists a positive integer m such that $\mathcal{O}_X(m(D + A))$ is generated by global sections and $\mathcal{O}_Y(m\bar{D})$ is relatively globally generated. In particular, since g is small,

$$\varphi : \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(m(D + A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(m(\bar{D} + g^*A))$$

induces an isomorphism at the level of global sections. Now \bar{D} is g -ample, and there exists $k \gg 0$ such that $\mathcal{O}_Y(m(\bar{D} + g^*A)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(kg^*A)$ is also generated by global sections, hence φ must be surjective and hence an isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(m(D + A))$ is generated by global sections, so is $\mathcal{O}_Y(m(\bar{D} + g^*A))$. This implies that $\bar{D} + g^*A$ is nef, and since nefness is a closed property, \bar{D} is nef.

Let us now suppose that \bar{D} is a nef divisor on Y . Fix an ample divisor A on X and r an integer such that $rA \sim H$ is very ample. Since \bar{D} is g -ample, $\bar{D} + kg^*(A)$ is an ample divisor for any k big enough. Fix k with this property. In particular, since \bar{D} is nef, by Fujita's vanishing theorem ([Laz04a, Theorem 1.4.35]) we have that

$$H^i(Y, k(m - (n - i))(\bar{D} + g^*(A))) = H^i(Y, (m - (n - i))(\bar{D} + kg^*(A)) + (m - (n - i))(k - 1)\bar{D}) = 0$$

for $0 < i \leq n = \dim X$, if $m \gg 0$. By [Laz04a, Lemma 4.3.10], this implies that

$$(1) \quad R^j g_* \mathcal{O}_Y(mk(\bar{D} + g^*A)) = 0 \quad \text{for } j > 0$$

and even more, via the projection formula, we have

$$(2) \quad R^j g_* \mathcal{O}_Y(mk(\bar{D} + g^*A) - (n - i)g^*A) = 0 \quad \text{for } j > 0, 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

In particular, with a spectral sequence computation we have that all the cohomologies of the above sheaves vanish. Hence by Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity we conclude that $f_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(mk(\bar{D} + g^*A)))$ is generated by global sections. Let us denote $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_Y(mk(\bar{D} + g^*A) + nr(\bar{D} + g^*A))$, and $M := (mk + nr)$.

We now consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow g_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(M(D + A)) \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

where Q is the cokernel of the first map. We will prove by induction on $d := \dim(\text{Supp}(Q))$, that $\mathcal{O}_X(M(D + A))$ is generated by global sections. If $\dim(\text{Supp}(Q)) = 0$, then Q is supported on points and hence globally generated. Since $g_* \mathcal{F}$ is globally generated as we observed above, and $H^1(g_* \mathcal{F}) = 0$, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_X(M(D + A))$ is globally generated.

Let us now consider the general case, with $\dim Q = d$. In particular, if $H_1, \dots, H_d \in |rA|$ are general hyperplane sections, $g_* \mathcal{F}|_{H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_d}$ is torsion free and we can construct the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & g_*\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(M(D+A)) & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow p & & \downarrow q & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & g_*\mathcal{F}|_{H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_d} & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathcal{O}_X(M(D+A))|_{H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_d} & \xrightarrow{v} & Q|_{H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_d} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

We first need to justify the existence of the map s . It suffices show that $(g_*\mathcal{F}|_{H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_d})^{\vee\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(M(D+A))|_{H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_d}$, where we already know that the two sheaves agree on a big open set. $\mathcal{O}_X(M(D+A))$ is a reflexive sheaf if and only if there exists an associated exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(M(D+A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$$

where \mathcal{E} is locally free and \mathcal{G} is torsion free [Har80, Proposition 1.1]. Moreover, we need to show that the restriction to a general hyperplane section H leaves the sequence exact. In particular we need to show that $\mathcal{G}|_H$ is torsion free, and this is true since it is possible to pick H that does not contain any of the associated primes of \mathcal{G} . Since the left hand side is reflexive by definition, and the two sheaves agree on a big open set, they have to be the same.

We can now finish the proof via a few simple observations. In fact, we have that $\dim \text{Supp}(Q|_{H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_d}) = 0$, hence this sheaf is generated by global sections. Also, the map s is an isomorphism at the level of global sections, since the original map g is small and the hyperplanes are general, and by (2) it follows that $H^1(g_*\mathcal{F}|_{H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_d}) = 0$. Hence $Q|_{H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_d}$ is trivial so that Q is trivial itself and $\mathcal{O}_X(M(D+A)) \cong g_*\mathcal{F}$ is generated by global sections.

We conclude that for every ample divisor A on X , there exist an integer M such that $\mathcal{O}_X(M(D+A))$ is generated by global sections, hence D is q-nef. \square

Definition 4.4. Let X be a normal projective variety, D any divisor on X and A an ample divisor. If there exists a $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $D + tA$ is quasi-nef, we define the quasi-nef threshold with respect to A (qnt_A) as:

$$\text{qnt}_A(D) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} | \mathcal{O}_X(m(D+tA)) \text{ is globally generated for all } m \text{ sufficiently divisible}\}.$$

Remark 4.5. Let X be a normal projective variety with at most log-terminal singularities. For any divisor D on X and any ample divisor A , then $\text{qnt}_A(D)$ exists and it is a rational number. This is a direct consequence of the fact that for any variety with at most klt singularities, every divisorial ring is finitely generated [Kol08, Theorem 92].

Let us recall the following conjecture from [Urb11]:

Conjecture 4.6. *Let X be a projective normal variety. Then, for any divisor $D \in \text{WDiv}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, there exists a very ample divisor A such that $\mathcal{O}_X(mD) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(A)^{\otimes m}$ is globally generated for every $m \geq 1$.*

5. QUASI-NEF DIVISORS ON TORIC VARIETIES

For the notation and basic properties of toric varieties we refer the reader to [CLS11].

Consider a normal projective toric variety $X = X_\Sigma$ corresponding to a complete fan Σ in $N_\mathbb{R}$ (with no torus factor), with $\dim N_\mathbb{R} = n$. Recall that every T_N -invariant Weil divisor is represented by a sum

$$D = \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} d_\rho D_\rho,$$

where ρ is a one-dimensional subcone (a ray), and D_ρ is the associated T_N -invariant prime divisor. D is Cartier if for every maximal dimension subcone $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$, $D|_{U_\sigma}$ is locally a divisor of a character $\text{div}(\chi^{m_\sigma})$, with $m_\sigma \in N^\vee = M$. If D is Cartier we will say that $\{m_\sigma | \sigma \in \Sigma(n)\}$ is the Cartier data of D .

To every divisor we can associate a polyhedron:

$$P_D = \{m \in M_\mathbb{R} | \langle m, u_\rho \rangle \geq -d_\rho \text{ for every } \rho \in \Sigma(1)\}.$$

Even if the divisor is not Cartier, the polyhedron is still convex and rational but not necessarily integral.

For every divisor D and every cone $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$, we can describe the local sections as

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U_\sigma) = \mathbb{C}[W]$$

where $W = \{\chi^m | \langle m, u_\rho \rangle + d_\rho \geq 0 \text{ for all } \rho \in \sigma(1)\}$.

Let us recall the following Proposition from [Lin03]:

Proposition 5.1. *For a torus invariant Weil divisor $D = \sum d_\rho D_\rho$, the following statements hold.*

- (1) $\Gamma(X, D) = \bigoplus_{m \in P_D \cap M} \mathbb{C} \cdot \chi^m$.
- (2) *Given that $\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U_\sigma) = \mathbb{C}[\sigma^\vee \cap M] \langle \chi^{m_{\sigma,1}}, \dots, \chi^{m_{\sigma,r_\sigma}} \rangle$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[\sigma^\vee \cap M]$ module for every $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ and a minimal set of generators is assumed to be chosen, $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is generated by its global sections if and only if $m_{\sigma,j} \in P_D$ for all σ and j .*

We will also need the following result [Eli97].

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a complete toric variety and let D be a Cartier divisor on X . Then the ring*

$$\mathcal{R}_D := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))$$

is a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra.

Corollary 5.3. *Since every toric variety admits a \mathbb{Q} -factorialization, a small morphism from a \mathbb{Q} -factorial variety ([Fuj01, Corollary 3.6]), the above result holds for Weil divisors as well.*

Remark 5.4. Conjecture 4.6 holds for $X = X_\Sigma$, a complete toric variety.

We can now focus our attention on q-nef divisors.

Remark 5.5. A small birational map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is given by adding faces of dimension ≥ 2 to the fan. This operation increases the number of subcones. In particular a subcone in the fan corresponding to Y may be strictly contained in one of the original subcones.

Remark 5.6. Let us consider a Weil divisor $D \subseteq X$ on a normal toric variety. If $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a small birational map, then $P_D = P_{f_*^{-1}D}$. This is clear, since the definition of the polyhedron only depends on the rays generating the fan and not on the structure of the subcones.

We assume that the polyhedron P_D is of maximal dimension and that zero is inside the polyhedron.

To have a better description of the relation between a small morphism and the local sections of a Weil divisor, we will introduce a new polyhedron associated to the divisor, the dual of P_D .

Definition 5.7. Let $D = \sum d_\rho D_\rho \subseteq X = X_\Sigma$ be a Weil divisor on a normal toric variety. We define $Q_D \subseteq N_\mathbb{R}$ to be the convex hull generated by $\frac{1}{d_\rho} u_\rho$ where $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$. In Particular

$$Q_D = P_D^* = \{u \in N_\mathbb{R} \mid \langle m, u \rangle \geq -1 \text{ for all } m \in P_D\}.$$

Recall that a divisor D is Cartier if and only if for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there is $m_\sigma \in M$ with $\langle m_\sigma, u_\rho \rangle = -d_\rho$ for all $\rho \in \sigma(1)$, with $D|_{U_\sigma} = \text{div}(\chi^{-m_\sigma})$ ([CLS11, Theorem 4.2.8]).

We will define Σ' to be the fan generated by Σ and the faces of Q_D . In particular, any face of Q_D is contained in a hyperplane corresponding to m_σ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$. Note that the vertices of Q_D are all contained in the 1-dimensional faces of Σ , hence $Y := X_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow X$ is a small birational map.

For every cone $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$, if $\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U_\sigma)$ is locally generated by a single equation (is locally Cartier) nothing changes. Otherwise we substitute the cone σ by the set of subcones generated by the faces of Q_D contained in σ .

Lemma 5.8. *With the notation above, suppose that $\bar{\sigma} \subseteq \Sigma'(n)$ corresponds to a face of Q_D . Let $\bar{m} \in M_\mathbb{R}$ the element corresponding to the hyperplane containing the face, hence $\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U_{\bar{\sigma}}) = \mathbb{C}[\bar{\sigma}^\vee \cap M][\chi^{\bar{m}}]$. If $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is globally generated, then $\bar{m} \in P_D$.*

Proof. Since $\chi^{\bar{m}}$ is a generator of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U_{\bar{\sigma}})$, we have that $\langle \bar{m}, u_\rho \rangle = -d_\rho$ for every $\rho \in \bar{\sigma}(1)$. Also, since Q_D is convex and $\langle \bar{m}, 0 \rangle = 0 > -1$, we have that $\langle \bar{m}, u_\rho \rangle \geq -d_\rho$ for every $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$, hence $\bar{m} \in P_D$. \square

Proposition 5.9. *Let X be a normal toric variety and D a Weil divisor whose corresponding reflexive sheaf is generated by global sections. Then there exists a small map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of toric varieties such that $\bar{D} := f_*^{-1}D$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and f -ample, and the vertices of P_D are given by the Cartier data $\{m_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma'(n)\}$ of \bar{D} , where Σ' is the fan associated to Y .*

Proof. We will consider the toric variety associated to the fan Σ' generated by Σ and the convex polytope Q_D . It follows from the construction that the divisor \bar{D} is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. By

Lemma 5.8, since Q_D is convex, we have that the reflexive sheaf corresponding to \bar{D} is still generated by global sections.

Even more, every curve C extracted via the map f will correspond to a face $\tau \subseteq \Sigma'$. Since \bar{D} is globally generated, we already know that $(\bar{D}.C) \geq 0$. In particular τ is given as the intersection of two maximal cones $\tau = \sigma \cap \sigma'$, and for each of the cones we have local generators of \bar{D} , m and m' . The intersection is computed as $(\bar{D}.C) = \langle m, u \rangle - \langle m', u \rangle$, where u is a ray in $\sigma \setminus \sigma'$, where this is zero if and only if $m = m'$, and this would not be one of the curves to be extracted by the map f by definition. \square

Remark 5.10. Because of Proposition 5.9 it makes sense to define a Weil divisor D on a normal toric variety X to be q-nef if $\mathcal{O}_X(mD)$ is globally generated for $m \gg 0$.

Example 5.11. Let X be a normal projective toric variety and $A = \sum a_\rho D_\rho \subseteq X$ an ample divisor. Then $\text{qnt}_A(D)$ can be explicitly computed. In particular, let $D = \sum d_\rho D_\rho$ any Weil divisor. If no multiple of D is globally generated, this implies that for every $b \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\sigma_b \in \Sigma(n)$ such that $u_{\rho_b} \notin \sigma_b$ and $\langle m_{\sigma_b}^{bD}, u_{\rho_b} \rangle < -bd_{\rho_b}$, where $m_{\sigma_b}^{bD}$ is one of the generators of $\mathcal{O}_X(bD)(U_{\sigma_b})$, i.e. there exists a positive rational number δ_{ρ_b} such that $\langle m_{\sigma_b}^{bD}, u_{\rho_b} \rangle = -bd_{\rho_b} - \delta_{\rho_b}$. Since A is ample, the support function of A is strictly convex, and in particular $\langle m_{\sigma_b}^A, u_{\rho_b} \rangle > -a_{\rho_b}$, i.e. there exists a positive rational number ε_{ρ_b} such that $\langle m_{\sigma_b}^A, u_{\rho_b} \rangle = -a_{\rho_b} + \varepsilon_{\rho_b}$.

For every $\rho_b \notin \sigma_b$ and every σ_b we can find a rational number t_{b,σ_b,ρ_b} so that:

$$\langle m_{\sigma_b}^D + t_{b,\sigma_b,\rho_b} m_{\sigma_b}^A, u_{\rho_b} \rangle = -bd_{\rho_b} - \delta_{\rho_b} - t_{b,\sigma_b,\rho_b} a_{\rho_b} + t_{b,\sigma_b,\rho_b} \varepsilon_{\rho_b} = -bd_{\rho_b} - t_{b,\sigma_b,\rho_b} a_{\rho_b}.$$

Then

$$\text{qnt}_A(D) = \inf_b \max_{\sigma_b, \rho_b \notin \sigma_b} -t_{b,\sigma_b,\rho_b}.$$

6. MINIMAL LOG DISCREPANCIES FOR TERMINAL TORIC THREEFOLDS

In this last section we go back to properties of log discrepancies in the setting of [dFH09] in the context of toric varieties.

Depending on our choice of a relative canonical divisor, we have two possible definitions for the Minimal Log Discrepancies (MLD's).

Definition 6.1. Let X be a normal variety over the complex numbers, we associate two numbers to the variety X :

$$\text{MLD}^-(X) = \inf_E \text{val}_E(K_{Y/X}^-)$$

and

$$\text{MLD}^+(X) = \inf_E \text{val}_E(K_{Y/X}^+)$$

where $E \subseteq Y$ is any prime divisor and $Y \rightarrow X$ is any proper birational morphism of normal varieties.

It is natural to wonder if these MLD's also satisfy the ACC conjecture. If X is assumed to be \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein, then this is conjectured to hold by V. Shokurov. In view of [dFH09, Theorem 5.4], the MLD^+ 's correspond to MLD's of appropriate pairs (X, Δ) . However the coefficients of Δ do not necessarily belong to a DCC set (cf. [Amb06]).

Proposition 6.2. *The set of all MLD^+ 's for terminal toric threefolds does not satisfy the ACC conjecture.*

Proof. We give an explicit example of a set of terminal toric threefolds whose associated MLD^+ 's converge to a number from below. The problem is local, hence we will consider a set of affine toric threefolds given by the following data.

Let X be the affine toric variety associated to the cone $\sigma = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \rangle$, $u_1 = (2, -1, 0)$, $u_2 = (2, 0, 1)$, $u_3 = (1, 1, 1)$, $u_4 = (a, 1, 0)$ with $a \in \mathbb{N}$. The associated toric variety is non- \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein, i.e. the canonical divisor $K_X = \sum -D_i$ is not \mathbb{Q} -Cartier.

Let $\Delta = \sum d_i D_i$ be a \mathbb{Q} -divisor such that $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$ and $-K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. This means that there exists $m = (x, y, z)$ such that $-K_X + \Delta = \sum (m, u_i) D_i$. Hence $\Delta = (2x - y - 1)D_1 + (2x + z - 1)D_2 + (x + y + z - 1)D_3 + (ax + y - 1)D_4$.

The exceptional divisor E giving the smallest discrepancy is the one corresponding to the element $u_E = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$ (it is the exceptional divisor generated by the ray of smallest norm). In particular, we have

$$\text{val}_E(K_{Y/X}^+) = \inf_{\Delta \text{ boundary}} 5x - 2z.$$

Increasing the value of the parameter a we see that the minimal valuation (solving a problem of minimality with constraints) is given by $\frac{4a+5}{a+2}$ which accumulates from below at the value 4. \square

REFERENCES

- [Amb06] Florin Ambro. The set of toric minimal log discrepancies. *Central European Journal of Mathematics*, 4:358–370, 2006. 10.2478/s11533-006-0013-x.
- [BCHM06] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher D. Hacon, and James McKernan. Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type, 2006.
- [CLS11] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck. *Toric Varieties*. American Mathematical Society, 2011. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, No. 124.
- [dFH09] Tommaso de Fernex and Christopher D. Hacon. Singularities on normal varieties. *Compos. Math.*, 145(2):393–414, 2009.
- [Eli97] E. Javier Elizondo. The ring of global sections of multiples of a line bundle on a toric variety. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 125(9):2527–2529, Sept. 1997.
- [Fuj01] O. Fujino. Notes on toric varieties from Mori theoretic viewpoint. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, December 2001.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [Har80] Robin Hartshorne. Stable reflexive sheaves. *Mathematische Annalen*, 254:121–176, 1980. 10.1007/BF01467074.

- [Kaw86] Yujiro Kawamata. On the crepant blowing-ups of canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 62(3):104–107, 1986.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [Kol08] János Kollár. Exercises in the birational geometry of algebraic varieties. *arXiv.org:0809.2579*, October 21 2008. Comment: Oct.21: many small corrections.
- [Laz04a] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [Laz04b] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, volume 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals.
- [Lin03] Hui-Wen Lin. Combinatorial method in adjoint linear systems on toric varieties. *Michigan Math.*, 51, 2003.
- [Sch99] S. Schroeer. On contractible curves on normal surfaces. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, November 1999.
- [Urb11] S. Urbinati. Discrepancies of non- \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein varieties. *Michigan Math. J. Volume 61, Issue 2 (2012)*, 265-277, 2011.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, 155 SOUTH 1400 EAST, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112, USA

E-mail address: `urbinati@math.utah.edu`